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I. Call to Order

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Good morning, everybody. We will call the meeting of the Missouri Gaming Commission meeting of June 27th to order. Angie, please call the roll.

MS. FRANKS: Commission Finney?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Present.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Lombardo?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Present.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Neer?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Present.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Jamison?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Present.

MS. FRANKS: Chairman Kohn?

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Present.

We have a quorum ready to begin business. And we have a presentation this morning. Tim? Okay.

MR. MCGRAIL: If I could have Jennifer Wilbers come forward please. We are recognizing Jennifer for five years of service with the Missouri Gaming Commission. Of course, she's worked for the state for many, many years before that. So she has roughly about over 31 years with the state as far as service, but five years with the Missouri Gaming Commission. So we would like to congratulate her on reaching significant milestone in her career. Your effort and
dedication is part of our success and we appreciate your commitment. Jennifer is one of our assistant deputy directors and she handles the administration part, which is fiscal and budget, so as far as the collection and reimbursement of the funds for the industry. So we congratulate Jennifer on the years of service with the Commission. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Jennifer, if we can add our congratulations and thank you for your service. It is nice to finally meet the person who does the work that Tim takes credit for.

MS. WILBERS: Thank you.

MR. MCGRAIL: I have one more, if I could. And this is one that wasn't on the schedule, but Dave Kessel if you would stand up, please. We want to recognize Dave Kessel. He was nominated and selected as the June DPS employee of the month. And then we recently found out that he was actually selected by the governor as the state employee of the month of for June.

Dave is our licensing manager and what he had done was in January of this year, he was coming back from lunch driving down on Knipp Drive here. And as he was about ready to make the turn out of the driveway he sees an elderly man lying on the ground and he was kind of waiving.

So he goes ahead, pulls down there and there was this elderly man that had apparently fallen, couldn't get up.
He was scraped up all over his face, his arms, his knees, bleeding, very cold. He didn't have a jacket on. So Dave helps him up, gets him into his house. Asks if he wants any emergency service, EMTs to come. He goes, No. I think if I just clean myself up and get bandaged, I'll be okay. So then he leaves and then he comes back in an hour or two just to make sure the elderly gentleman was okay. He didn't even ask his name, he just came back.

We found out about it. Again, I went down and talked to the elderly gentleman. He is very feeble. He can't get around very well. I asked him how long he'd been lying on the ground. He said I have no clue. He said several cars had drove by and waving at them and they kept driving on by. Dave took the time and the effort and he stopped and checked on this gentleman and took care of him. So that's why he is being recognized both by DPS and the state of Missouri.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Quite a story. Thank you for that on behalf of everybody.

II. Consideration of Minutes

CHAIRMAN KOHN: The first item of business before the meeting is the consideration of the minutes of the May 29 and May 30 meetings. If you recall those are from east side each side of the state. And let me -- there's one correction that I've already asked Angie to make and she will sync it to your minutes. It's not a substantive issue, but at
the beginning Larry Plunkett made the presentations at that meeting, for some reason the minutes show that I called up the two recipients of the awards that were given when in fact, it wasn't me. It was Larry Plunkett who did. So subject to that amendment, is there a motion to approve those two sets of minutes?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Motion to approve.
COMMISSIONER NEER: Second.
CHAIRMAN KOHN: Angie, please call the roll.
MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Finney?
COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Approved.
MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Lombardo?
COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Approved.
MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Neer?
COMMISSIONER NEER: Approved.
MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Jamison?
COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Approved.
MS. FRANKS: Chairman Kohn?
CHAIRMAN KOHN: Approved.
MS. FRANKS: By your vote you've adopted the minutes of the May 29th, 2018 meeting and the May 30th, 2018 meeting as amended.
CHAIRMAN KOHN: Thank you. So a lot of you see the five commissioners up here. And you don't know much about us other than that we levy fines and approve minutes. But we do


have other lives and we have a picture to demonstrate that we
have other lives. So if you could look on the screen and you
will see what we do when we are not here.

COMMISSIONER NEER: I don't believe this.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: That is Commission Neer with his
pet raccoon. We thought you should all see that. We got the
entertainment out of the way. Mr. Seibert?

II. Consideration of Relicensure of Class A & B Licenses

MR. SEIBERT: Mr. Chairman, the first order of
business is the consideration of relicensure of Class A and B
licensees. And the general manager, Mr. Darren Vandover will
make and make any introductions that are necessary.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Mr. Vandover.

MR. VANDOVER: That's the cutest raccoon I've
ever seen. I just want to be on record for that.

Chairman Kohn, commissioners, Executive Director
Seibert, my name is Darren Vandover. I'm senior vice president
and general manager of Harrah's North Kansas City. I'm here to
present our four-year licensure renewal for both Harrah's North
Kansas City and Caesars Entertainment.

I would like to introduce a few people who are
with me from my team today. Nick Shelton, who is our director
of casino marketing; our assistant general manager, Julie Sola;
our as security manager, Shelley Weeks; our surveillance
manager, Chuck Ball; our director of casino operations, Zach
Mays; and probably you know our compliance manager, Sharon Spencer-Drew.

A quick note of what I'm going to be going over in the agenda. First, I'm going to talk about the development agreement and where we stand with North Kansas City, how we sit during from the four years from a revenue and admission performance, our capital investments over the last four years, what we do for responsible gaming and underage patrons, security incidents that happened at the property and also crime statistics from North Kansas City, highlights of our community partnerships, what we do from a minority and women-owned business spend perspective.

Then I will have the mayor of North Kansas City come up and talk briefly about our relationship and what they have done with the gaming revenue and then lastly, I'll end with a Caesars update.

So as we look at our agreement with North Kansas City, the agreement calls for either the larger of 2 million or 2 percent of gaming revenues. And as you can see over the last four years we've exceeded $3 million given to North Kansas City. The high point was in '15 where we gave out 3,000,153. That was the year that the Royals went to the World Series. We don't expect that lift this year in October.

From a charitable contribution standpoint, it's either $100 thousand or 1 percent of our net operating income.
We have given more to charity than that, but we did meet the $100 thousand threshold and I'll go over the charitable stuff later on.

From a review and admissions standpoint, we were slightly behind where we would have like to have been. We had 692 million in revenue versus plans of almost over 700 million over the four-year timeframe. And we're hoping to top 15 million in admissions and just fell short of that 14.8 million in admissions.

From a capital perspective, as of December of 2013, we spent almost $217 million in capital investment of the property. Over the last four years, we spent $21.4 million. I could tell you in the previous four years we only spent 12.4 million. It's a sizable increase over the last four years with the highlights being $2 million dollars on the Atlantic City Tower and over $5 million in the restaurants spent over that timeframe. I have a few pictures to share.

This is what our remodeled AC Tower looks like. Standard rooms. And then at the bottom is a sitting area for the suites, which we spent $2 million on this. Capital investments in the steakhouse, which was north of $1 million, '37 Steak after Harrah’s was created in 1937, hence the name. Plus, we put an apostrophe in front of it. We appear first in any magazine or Google, it comes up first. I think that was the game plan. And if you have ever at -- great wine list, the
best candied bacon in the world. I recommend coming to visit us
to and experience '37 steak.

We also opened in January of last year Winning
Streaks, which is the best sports bar in North Kansas City, if
not one of the best in the Kansas City area. We also spent
money, close to $2 million on a new Diamond Lounge for our VIP
customers, and what we call a GM club for VVIP customers.

And then lastly, on the casino floor we spent
$700,000 opening up a noodle bar and spent a million dollars on
putting brand-new carpet in the building.

As we look towards this year, what we're going
to spend is about 4.5 million, of that, which is about a
million-three in slot machines. When you add the chairs and the
signage together on the slot floor, it's another million
dollars. Escalators are a million dollars, by the way.

And then the safety enhancements. We work
closely with the police department of North Kansas City. One of
the things we are adding to this is a license plate reader. So
if the vehicle comes on the property, it goes directly to North
Kansas City Police Department. So if there is a felony against
that license plate or it's a stolen vehicle, a message goes
directly to them. And that will be installed the next month.

We are very excited about that.

In addition, we've added more lights. And it
used to be to get to our casino floor was just the VIP required
your key card. It will be every floor on the property will
require a key card.

From a responsible gaming perspective, we have
the advanced ID detection system. We have training on
identifying false IDs, is conducted with security every year.
We ID guests that look under the age of 30. We have classes for
all new hires, annual classes on Project 21. We reconfigured
the casino entrances to make monitoring the egress a little
simpler for our security team. We have a bounty program. If
they find underage patron and fraudulent ID, which during this
timeframe was 176 instances of this. And lastly, strong signage
throughout the property.

Again, back to underage equipment that we use;
advanced ID detection, magnification tool, black lights,
flashlights, state ID books. Over the course of four years we
checked over 900,000 IDs.

So if we look at the incidents we've had on the
property, 2,300 of them have been medical, anywhere from a cut
in the finger to unfortunately a stroke or a heart attack.
We've had 625 incidents of theft, the majority being cell
phones, purses, or credits left on the device. 240 incidents of
intoxicated patrons, 229 incidents of suspicious activity
investigations. This is from money laundering. As you can see
the last two years has grown significantly. I can stand before
and say that there is not a casino company that does more toward
finding money laundering than Caesars Entertainment. We've had 175 arrests, 175 incidents of disassociated persons on property. And thankfully, only six fires.

From a North Kansas City standpoint, it's fairly consistent -- 2016 was little higher, 399, but nice the other year and this is Part 1 crimes. And 2017 we saw a decrease to 310.

From a community partnership perspective, there's four things: Our commitment to our employees to provide respect and an ability to have a career; two, to our guests from a responsible gaming perspective. And I'm gonna go into highlights of the last two, which is our community and our promise to the communities to give back and our promise to be good stewards of our environment.

So from a community standpoint over the four-year period we gave 837,000 to charity, which was a 60 percent increase from the previous four years. We gave approximately 12,000 hours of employee time. HERO is Harrah’s Employees Reaching Out program, which was an increase of about 1,700 hours from the previous four years.

Some of the highlights of this; Harvesters Community Food Network, we give our buffet food to that group; Meals on Wheels, where we donate our time to give back to senior citizens in the area; Rose Brooks, we donate time and donations of clothing; Giving the Basics, which is providing hygiene
products for school and children; and then Dining with Dignity, which we volunteer services to prepare food and cleanup.

Other ones we are proud about; The American Cancer Society; First Downs for Down Syndrome; Operation Breakthrough, where we provide donations and volunteer time in classrooms for lower income families; Salvation Army angel tree for Christmas and then Beanies, where employees make beanies for babies and children to donate to local hospitals.

And then two of the ones we are most proud of is the Fallen Soldiers Annual Dinner, which we do in Leavenworth, Kansas, where we provide dinner and serve for fallen soldiers and their families. And then Chouteau Village, which is the senior living facility where we do caroling and play games with the residents.

As I mentioned, a steward of the environment is very important to us. We participate, obviously, in Earth Hour and Earth Day. Some of the highlights that we've seen over the years is we've updated 433 thermostats, providing $75,000 in savings. We've recycled paper, cardboard. We recycled over 25,000 gallons of oil and then we are one of the top companies for Clean the World, donating over 100,000 bars of soap.

From a Going Green perspective also, we don't waste anything. We provide the buffet food to the Harvesters where we provided 58,000 meals and 72,000 pounds of food.

From a Minority and Women-owned Business spend,
we have a corporate purchasing policy commitment to minority spend. We have competitive business bidding process to ensure services and products procedures for our business are the best possible quality, services, and price. Vendor selection is obviously based on quality, service, price, the Business Council Listing and user knowledge, past history. We make every effort to identify quality minority suppliers who offer quality products and services. Harrah’s North Kansas City participates in diversity vendor networks and we've participated in many events. The most recent one is myself, Julie and our purchasing people went over to Argosy for their vendor fair that just happened a few, couple weeks ago.

When you look at the numbers, which is hard to read on the screen, we still have work to do. I will -- I am proud of the fact that we hit our high water mark in 2017, where our minority spend was at over 5 percent of 5.3 and our Women-owned Business spend was 22 percent, which is the best year we have had. The unaudited numbers for the first quarter of this year was a 11 percent, minority spend a significant increase, and 23 percent of Women-owned Business spend for the first quarter of 2018. We are proud of that too.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: How did that happen?

MR. VANDOVER: This quarter?

CHAIRMAN KOHN: We had a little bit more construction things going on. I don't think this is here to
stay, but it's a good start to the year. It's not normal course
of business to provide some of that, but we have found some
vendors. And I think that 5 percent is going to be somewhere
around 7 or 8 for the year. We are pretty proud of -- we do a
lot of work trying to find as many vendors as we can for this.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: But the quarter, the big jump is
a one-off?

MR. VANDOVER: Three points of that is a one-off
but, I think we're going to see 7 percent average for the year.
Any questions on anything before I bring --

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: I have one. You had
mentioned on the crime disturbance statistics money-laundering.
What exactly are you referring to?

MR. VANDOVER: Well, we have a program which
call KYC, which is know your customer. What we do is we do
investigations into making sure where their funds come from. So
we actually -- if we get to the point where we can't figure out
where the funds come from, stop them from gambling. Now,
suspicious activity investigation could be anywhere from someone
-- if you and I are gambling together and I give you the chips
to cash in, that looks suspicious. We write something up for
that. If you walk out the building with too much chips, we
write stuff up for that. It could be anything that looks like
in the gaming play, or maybe you're structuring, because 10,000
is the limit before you fill something out. If you look like
you're structuring, we do an investigation report that says this looks suspicious. So anything during the play that our casino sees that looks suspicious, we do a report on it and give to the federal government.

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Okay. Thank you. It just wasn't immediately apparent to me to. I appreciate the explanation.

MR. VANDOVER: Mr. Mayor? Mr. Don Stielow, mayor of North Kansas City.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Good morning, Mr. Mayor. Thank you for being here.

MR. STIELOW: One thing I can say right up front, we're so damn happy that Harrah's is in North Kansas City.

MR. VANDOVER: The 3 million dollars is in the mail.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Do you want to build on that statement?

MR. STIELOW: Actually, in the last four years with the money that we get from Harrah's, we have built an off-leash dog park, which has been really successful. We got people coming in from the whole neighborhood. Also we have built -- we had a little wading pool more or less. It was two feet deep and it was built 30, 40 years ago. It was obsolete. So we took all of that out and built a spray park for the children and that thing has been successful. I mean, you can't
stir them with a stick when it good and hot. But it's really
been great.

We also built a civic center at and home for our
parks and rec people. We just got that done this -- well, yeah,
this year. It seems to be working really well. The Park
Department is working more with their card games and everything
else for the old people, that if -- I always thought parks were
outside. But now there is a lot of inside stuff for park
departments.

I think one of the most important things we have
done is we've been able to purchase an old grain mill that we
tore down. This has opened up 64 acres so we can develop it
into, you know, business and things like that that will be a
real draw for the whole area. We are working very hard on that.
We've got most of the infrastructure in, so we are expecting to
start moving there pretty quick. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Thank you, Mayor. Anybody have
a question for the mayor?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Thank you very much for making
the trip here.

MR. VANDOVER: Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Now a
quick update on Caesars Entertainment, what it looks like after
getting out of bankruptcy last October. We have 47 properties
of which seven are managed and 20 are leased from Vici
Properties and Harrah’s North Kansas City is one of those 20.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Leased from who?

MR. VANDOVER: Vici, V-I-C-I Properties.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: What is that?

MR. VANDOVER: Is a real estate investment trust.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Out of where?

MR. VANDOVER: Well, they're in Las Vegas. I think they're based out of Las Vegas. I'm not sure the answer to that. I don't know. I can get back to you on that one.

What they did through the bankruptcy is that we had them basically -- that's how we got our debt down, is that we gave them ownership of the land and lowered our debt payments. You will see that later on, but that's why we went into an agreement with Vici Properties.

Again, they're silent partners. They don't get involved with anything. They just own the land and we make lease payments based on these 20 properties that --

CHAIRMAN KOHN: They own land the land or the land and the building?

MR. VANDOVER: The land and the building.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: But that was part of Caesars reorganization. Correct?

MR. VANDOVER: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Where they spun off some
of the land and buildings into the holding company that held the real estate and then that relieved Caesars from some of the operation of that.

MR. VANDOVER: Exactly. Exactly. So if you look at how we've done financially when we compare the fourth quarter '17 to the first quarter of '18, we did increase by $12 million, increased the margin by 70 basis points. When you look at EBIDAR -- and EBIDAR, the R stand for the rent payments. So we take that out for this calculation. We see a nice increase there and we expect through our strategic acquisitions and through our marketing efforts an increase of about 7 to 10 percent growth in that number for this year.

From a cash flow perspective, we're estimating that our EBITDA will be about 2.4 million. We're going to spend about 450 million as an enterprise on capital. The lease expense, which is the thing I talked about, 727,000 and still interest expense of 450 million. So it will leave us with 768 million in free cash flow.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Excuse me. I think you meant to say 2.4 billion.

MR. VANDOVER: I did. I was just testing to see if you were listening. 2.4 billion, and 450 million in capital. 727 million in lease expense. And it's 450 million in interest. I believe that's what $767 million is in cash flow.

This is what we were talking about before. This
is where we took the debt payments. We had 16 billion in debt and we've got that down to 9 billion in debt. Our gross leverage has dropped to 5.7 percent.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: I'm sorry. Back on the post bankruptcy part -- or the bankruptcy, reorganization. I didn't write down the name of the REIT that bought your property. Did that same REIT buy other properties than Caesars?

MR. VANDOVER: They own all of them. We just have with one agreement with each Vici Properties, owns all 20 of the leases properties, so yes.

And then from a financial perspective, again 16 billion in debt changed to 9 billion in debt. Gross leverage dropped to 5.7 versus 17.2. And the fixed charges, which is the big thing is now $1.2 billion, which is the combination of the interest to pay and the lease to Vici, which used to be $2.3 billion were the debt payments. Taken our fixed charge coverage to 2.1 versus less than one, hence the bankruptcy in 2014.

I would say -- I've been working with the company 17 years and capital investment over the last four years was stronger than it was the previous four years. During the bankruptcy, we didn't feel it much from a capital investment or from an employee perspective, but it's nice to be out of bankruptcy.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Do you happen to know in what states the company’s 20 properties are located?
MR. VANDOVER: Okay. If I look at it here, we've got Nevada, Mississippi, Louisiana, Illinois, Philadelphia -- that's not a state -- Pennsylvania, Iowa and Indiana.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Thank you.

MR. VANDOVER: Uh-huh. Any questions for me about Caesars or about Harrah’s North Kansas City?

MR. SEIBERT: Mr. Chairman, just for the record, that company's out of Spring Valley, Nevada.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Okay. I don't know where that is. Is that a suburb?

MR. VANDOVER: Yeah. That is a suburb of Las Vegas.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Any other questions? Okay.

MR. VANDOVER: Well, thank you for your time today.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Thank you very much.

Mr. Seibert?

MR. SEIBERT: Mr. Chairman, the investigative summary will be presented by Sergeant Jason McTheeney.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Good morning, Sergeant.

SERGEANT MCTHEENNEY: Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, applications were received by the Missouri Gaming Commission for the renewal of the Class A license of Caesars Entertainment Corporation and the Class B riverboat gaming license for Harrah’s North Kansas City, LLC.
Missouri State Highway Patrol investigators working in conjunction with Missouri Gaming Commission financial investigators investigated the suitability of Caesars Entertainment Corporation and Harrah’s North Kansas City to continue to operate as licensees.

Financial and criminal background checks have been conducted on the company's key and level one personnel. A financial analysis of the companies was also completed. City and county officials were contacted regarding business activities at the casino and ABS Consulting conducted the physical survey of the casino.

The findings of these investigations were provided to the Missouri Gaming Commission staff for their review and the investigating officers are present at this hearing should you have any questions. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Anybody have any questions?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Just one question, the issue in Indiana, that’s all resolved. Correct?

MR. GREWACH: It is still pending there and so that would be something we could discuss the details of in an open meeting. I think the Indiana Gaming Commission's meeting is tomorrow, I believe, to consider finalizing that matter. But until then, it would still be a closed meeting item.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: That’s just why I asked.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Any other question for the
sergeant. Thank you, Sergeant.

SERGEANT MCTHEENEY: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Mr. Seibert?

MR. SEIBERT: The next order of business is the MBE/WBE Compliance Review presented by MS. ALONZO (inaudible).

MS. BONNER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. On April 4th, 2018, the Missouri Gaming Commission staff conducted a 100 percent audit of the MBE/WBE records for the Class B licensee Harrah’s North Kansas City Casino. The results of our audit and specific details related to those findings are contained within the summary report in your possession. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Don't sit down yet. Any questions? Thank you. I think we saw the statistics before.

MS. BONNER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Mr. Seibert?

MR. SEIBERT: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman. We still have to ask if there's any public comment.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Anybody here from the public that wishes to comment? Okay. Staff recommendations?

MR. SEIBERT: Staff does recommend approval.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Is there a motion with regard to the Resolution 18-033?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Move to approve.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Second.
CHAIRMAN KOHN: Discussion on the motion?

Angie?

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Finney?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Lombardo?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Neer?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Jamison?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Chairman Kohn.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: By your vote you've adopted Resolution No. 18-033.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: I need a motion on 18-034.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: So moved.

COMMISSIONER NEER: Second.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Discussion on the motion?

Angie?

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Finney?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Lombardo?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Neer?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Approved.
MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Jamison?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Chairman Kohn.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: By your vote have adopted Resolution No. 18-034.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Mr. Seibert?

IV. Consideration of Licensure for Key Business Entities

MR. SEIBERT: The next order is consideration for licensure for key business entities, Sergeant Mike Finnegan will present.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Good morning, Sergeant.

SERGEANT FINNEGAN: Good morning. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, the Missouri State Highway Patrol investigators along with Missouri Gaming Commission financial investigators conducted licensing investigation of two key business entities who have applied for licensure in the state of Missouri.

These investigations consisted of jurisdictional inquiries, feedback from affected gaming company clients, a review of disciplinary actions, litigation and business credit profiles, as well as a review of the key persons associated with these companies. The results of these investigations were provided to the Missouri Gaming Commission staff for their review and you possess a comprehensive summary report before you. Investigating officers are present to answer any questions
The following key business entities are being presented for your consideration; Caesars Enterprise Services, LLC and Japan Cash Machine Co., LTD.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Do you have any other comments on Caesars Enterprise Services?

SERGEANT FINNEGAN: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: We'll do them one at a time.

SERGEANT FINNEGAN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Any questions on the Caesars application? Is there a motion with respect to --

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: I think I -- I think I saw something about that there's a litigation in there. It just generally said that --

SERGEANT FINNEGAN: On Caesars?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Right. I will refer that to Sergeant (inaudible). He was the investigative officer for that.

COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. What is his name?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: I think there is a general disclaimer that there was no concern about the litigation. There were a number of claims, perhaps that are being litigated. But they didn't strike you as being out of the ordinary; is that correct?

MR. GREWACH: Correct. There's no pending
litigation that is going to be substantial.

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Okay. Then you reviewed the past litigation and there was just -- did I read that correctly, you said this is just kind of normal litigation?

MR. GREWACH: Correct.

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: And what would that include?

MR. GREWACH: Well, we gather that from the SEC documents and from the company as well. Specifically, the litigation I don't recall what it was specifically to this company, to the key business entity. But it was nothing that was out of the ordinary.

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Brian, did you have a question?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: No.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Anybody have any questions?

Okay. Let's have a motion with respect to Resolution 18-035.

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Motion to approve.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Second.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Discussion on the motion?

Angie?

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Finney?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Lombardo?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Approved.
MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Neer?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Jamison?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Chairman Kohn.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: By your voted you've adopted Resolution No. 18-035.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Sergeant, do you have anything else to report with respect to the Japan Cash Machine?

SERGEANT FINNEGAN: No, sir, I do not.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Any questions?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Yes, I have one. This is this company's initial application to do business in the state of Missouri?

SERGEANT FINNEGAN: It's the parent company of the company out of Las Vegas, yes.

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: And then it starts off in the investigation that they made their application on July 14th, 2015. So that's two and a half, three years ago.

SERGEANT FINNEGAN: We've other priority investigations that have taken priority. This was not a priority investigation.

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Okay. The purpose of the question was not to necessarily say that you were taking too
long. I just wondered if there was a reason associated with
this applicant.

SERGEANT FINNEGAN: We had some turnover in the
division and it got passed on. There's nothing out of the
ordinary.

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Okay. And so during the
investigation -- this is a question I ask partially out of
ignorance. During this investigation, were they doing business
in the state of Missouri?

SERGEANT FINNEGAN: Yes. Yes, they were.

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: And no problems with
that?

SERGEANT FINNEGAN: Absolutely not.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Any other questions? May we
have a motion with respect to 18-036?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Motion to approve.

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Second.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Discussion on the motion?

Angie?

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Finney?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Lombardo?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Neer?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Approved.
MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Jamison?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Chairman Kohn.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: By your vote you've adopted Resolution No 18-036.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Thank you. Thanks, Sergeant.

Mr. Seibert?

V. Consideration of Licensure of Level I and Key Applicants

MR. SEIBERT: Next order of business is the consideration of licensure of level 1 and key applicants.

Sergeant Gary Davidson will present.

SERGEANT DAVIDSON: Good morning, Chairman, how are you doing this morning? Commissioners. Missouri Highway Patrol investigators along with Missouri Gaming Commission financial investigators conducted comprehensive background investigations on multiple key and level one applicants. The investigations included, but were not limited to criminal, financial, and general character inquiries which were made in the jurisdictions where the applicants lived, worked and frequented.

The following individuals are being presented for consideration today; John Michael Boushy, director, Caesars Entertainment Corporation; James Stephen Hunt, chairman of the board, Caesars Entertainment Operating Company, LLC; and Nelson
Norman Parker, II, senior vice president of corporate
development for Penn National Gaming Inc.

The results of these investigations were
provided to Missouri Gaming Commission staff and investigating
officers are present to answer any questions that you might
have.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Are there any questions with
respect to any of these individuals?

COMMISSIONER NEER: No, sir.

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Is there a motion with regard to
Resolution No. 18-037?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: I move for adoption.

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Second.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Discussion on the motion?

Angie?

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Finney?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Lombardo?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Neer?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Jamison?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Chairman Kohn?
CHAIRMAN KOHN: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: By your vote you have adopted Resolution No. 18-037.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Mr. Seibert?

VI. Consideration for Disciplinary Actions

MR. SEIBERT: Next order of business is consideration of disciplinary actions that Mr. Ed Grewach will present.

MR. GREWACH: Thank you, Direct Seibert.

Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, under Tab H we have a preliminary order of discipline for two follow-up audit findings arising out of an audit report that was issued on January the 12, 2017, for an audit period covering March of 2015 through September of 2016. A follow-up to that audit report was conducted in April of 2017.

There were two significant findings in the audit report that had not been corrected. The first involved a violation of minimum internal control standards E6.04, which requires if an electronic gaming devices has variances in six consecutive drop periods, that the device be taken out of service.

The audit report found one EGD that had variances for 15 consecutive drop periods. It was not taken out of service. In the follow-up another separate EGD was identified, which had variances on nine consecutive drop periods.
and was not taken out of service.

The second repeat finding -- follow-up finding involved a violation of minimum internal control standards E12.19, which requires casinos to submit to the Commission in their internal controls positions that have access to ticket validation numbers prior to redemption. The audit report found three employees in the compliance department who were not listed in the internal controls who had authorization and had access to those numbers. In the follow-up to that audit finding, the staff found that those three individuals still had a mechanism to have access to those numbers.

Staff recommended a fine of $5,000. The property's response to that recommendation was that the accounting department had found the variances in the EGDs washed from one drop period to the next. They traced the problem to problems in the paperwork used by the count department. Their position was since EGD had malfunctioned, they felt it was not necessary to take EGD out of service.

Staff's reply to that was that the requirement under the internal minimum internal control was not limited to mechanical malfunctions, but after the sixth consecutive variance of drop periods, the machine had to be taken out of service until the problem is resolved.

As to the second issue, the reply response from the property was that the access issue was attempted to be
fixed, but they had missed a step in fixing that problem that they weren't aware of. When the commission personnel came to do the follow-up audit finding, they did find another TM that those three individuals could utilize to access that ticket redemption information.

Staff then voted to continue with the recommended $5,000 fine on these two violations.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Questions? I'm curious because I've never seen the term drop period. How long is that?

MR. GREWACH: It's a day, a gaming day. They submit to us a drop schedule as to when they're going take the cash out of the electronic gaming devices and send it to the count room to be counted. This whole process is in place to make sure that the money collected in the drops according to the casino electronic accounting system matches what the money counted in the count room indicates to make sure we don't lose any money in between there.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: So this whole episode took place over six days?

MR. GREWACH: Well, there was actually nine days -- 15 the first time, nine the second time of consecutive drops.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: What do they mean by wash?

MR. GREWACH: That means if it was too high on the first day, the first drop period, it was too low by the exact same number on second, on the consecutive drop period.
CHAIRMAN KOHN: So cumulative, it came out correctly. But on the particular day of counting, it was incorrect?

MR. GREWACH: Correct. So if you look at the -- if you look six days and added -- it would all wash out or add up because whatever you were short or long, you were long or short the next day. And that again had to do with the paperwork. The paperwork in the count room was assigning some of the money to the wrong EGD. And that paperwork is what skewed.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: This is what they were having a problem with: It sounds like the machine may have been okay, but the people in the back office weren't handling it right?

MR. GREWACH: That seemed to be the result of the investigation.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Why take the machine out?

MR. GREWACH: Well, because until the problem is resolved, it's the staff's position that the machine has to be taken out of service until you do find that this is in fact the issue. So if you get -- if you get to three consecutive drop periods with these variances, then you're supposed to then conduct a more thorough investigation. If you get to six drop periods and still have the variances, then you take it out of service so that you don't continue to use a machine not having resolved the problem, not knowing exactly what the problem is
and having it resolved.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: The philosophy on that is you assume that there's a problem with the machine until you prove there is not a problem with the machine and so you take it out of service to determine what the actual problem is?

MR. GREWACH: I would say that too and leaving it in service after the six drop period does run the risk that there is an actual malfunction and, you know, could get us further into problems with the accountability of the money.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: I am still not sure I understand why -- maybe the fine would've been the same, but the fault seems to lie in the back office not with the machine.

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: That is ultimately what you guys found out. Right? That it is the back office. But you start your investigation by looking at the machine and then eliminating that and then go through with the rest of your investigation. I guess there's a regulation that says you're supposed to take the machine out of service?

MR. GREWACH: Exactly.

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Have I stated your position?

MR. GREWACH: Very well. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: But the machine may have been okay.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Yeah, but the point would
be is that the machine and the backroom are all the same operation.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: I understand that.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: If one is not working properly, then until the --

CHAIRMAN KOHN: But there is a financial cost to the casino by taking out a machine, isn't there?

MR. GREWACH: Yes, sir, there is.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: So we're really fining them twice; once by making them replace the machine that was probably fine, fine meaning okay; and secondly by a penalty for what was done by the backroom. The casino is paying $5,000 plus the cost of replacing the machine, which as I understand it was probably okay.

MR. GREWACH: Well, I wouldn't say replacing the machine. Actually, in these cases --

CHAIRMAN KOHN: You're taking one out, aren't you and putting another one in?

MR. GREWACH: No, because that machine, that asset's there on the floor still. And so what you do is you just take it out of service until you resolve the problem. Most typically that is a problem with the machine itself. There are certain tests that are run. In this case it just happened to be outside of the machine. But in any event, you know, it's our position the regulation say when you hit that sixth day of
consecutive variances, you have to take the machine out of
service until you resolve the problem.

In this case the resolving the problem didn't in
fact, end up being repairing the machine. But in either event,
if you don't take the machine out of service and don't fix the
problem, day seven, eight, through day 15, you continue to have
these variances. And by taking it out of service, you no longer
have the variances after day six.

COMMISSIONER NEER: But it's not uncommon to
have variances from time to time?

MR. GREWACH: I would have to call on our
compliance audit manager, Leshia Kempker, to answer that
question.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Could you identify yourself?

MS. KEMPKER: Yes, Leshia Kempker, compliance
audit manager. It is not uncommon for there to be bill ticket
or coupon variances for each drop period. A drop period could
be for one day, but according to the drop scheduling they
submit to us, it could be up to a period of one week. So
Wednesday morning to Wednesday morning you can drop that
machine. And in the first instance, the original finding, had a
variance for 15 consecutive drop periods. That was over 15
weeks.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: So I don't think the rule
is stating that the machine has to malfunction for it to be
taken out of service. It's that if there is a variance that --
for six drop periods, then the machine is taken out of service.
It doesn't mean that the machine has to be at fault. It just
means that if there is a variance, then that machine is taken
out as a first step to figure out what the problem.

MS. KEMPKER: To try to identify the problem.
Correct.

COMMISSIONER NEER: It's after a certain number
of variances?

MS. KEMPKER: Six consecutive periods.

COMMISSIONER NEER: Six consecutive. So if you
have four variances, that machine is not taken out of service?

MS. KEMPKER: No. After the first three
consecutive variances, then they have to prepare meter reading
comparison reports. And then if there are six consecutive
variances, that's when the machine has to be removed from
service.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: So the stance would be
once they determine the problem after the three variance drops,
they should be finding out what the solution is before it gets
to the six consecutive drops? That's our desire?

MS. KEMPKER: That the intent of the meter
reading comparison reports, to try to identify if there is a
problem with the machine.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: So would it be fair to say that
the reason we're imposing a penalty, a fine on the casino, is largely because of the failure to catch this in the back office? It wasn't for having faulty machine. It was for having -- for not it figuring out?

MS. KEMPKER: For failing to remove it from service after the sixth consecutive drop period. And this particular machine, the original finding had 15 consecutive variances. So it certainly should have been taken out of service because that would be six consecutive -- or two consecutive failed removals from service?

COMMISSIONER NEER: So they didn't take the proper action after the initial audit?

MS. KEMPKER: Correct.

COMMISSIONER NEER: The second audit, you found out they had --

MS. KEMPKER: -- not corrected --

MS. KEMPKER: During the follow-up, there was another --

COMMISSIONER NEER: -- what you recommended?

MS. KEMPKER: -- machine that had nine consecutive --

MR. GREWACH: I was going to say that same thing.

COMMISSIONER NEER: I'm not a lawyer either.

MR. GREWACH: Because, you know, this -- the whole process is, you know, throughout the audit there's
discussions between the auditors and management of the issues that come up. And then there's an audit exit conference in which they sit down and discuss the problems and then there is the final report. And at the audit exit conference we would raise to them, hey, you had this machine with 15 drop periods and you didn't take it out of service. And then management's reply is next time that happens, we'll do that.

And then we do the follow-up and then find out that in fact it happened again. So as far as what the fine is for, it's for, you know, not correcting their process from the audit report and management's response to the second follow-up.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: That makes more sense. The machine was probably put back into service with no adjustments to it.

MS. KEMPKER: I'm sorry?

CHAIRMAN KOHN: The machine was probably -- the machine, eventually after all of this was cleared up, put back into service without anything having been done to the machine?

MS. KEMPKER: After the casino identified that it was the card in the count room that was incorrect, then yes, it was -- it remained in service. It was never removed.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: So we're imposing the fine because work or non-work done by the people in the back office, not because they had a faulty machine on the floor.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: No. We are fining
because they didn't follow the process that we told them to follow.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Okay. Any other questions?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: No.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Or internal discussion. Is there a motion with respect to DC 18-043?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Motion to approve.

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Second.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Discussion on the motion?

Angie?

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Finney?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Lombardo?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Neer?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Jamison?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Chairman Kohn?

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: By your vote you've adopted DC-18-043.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Okay, Mark Twain?

MR. GREWACH: Under Tab I we have a preliminary order of discipline directed at Mark Twain Casino for improperly
altering records relating to patrons tier points status and
failure to follow the proper procedures for point adjustments.

As the commission's probably aware, a player reward program is a
program that casinos offer where you're given points generally
based on the amount of play. You can redeem those points for
various things, sometimes free meals, buffets, free play
coupons.

Like most properties, Mark Twain also have a
tier point program. You're placed in different tiers, based on
the points you earn during a certain time period. And there's
an interaction between the two. For example in this case, the
highest level was a GM status. To earn that you had to earn
500,000 points in a six-month time period. So if you hit that
level, then you get certain point multipliers on your play for
your regular player reward program points. You get items like
dinner with the general manager, gifts, certain gifts from the
casino.

Now, as this program relates to their table game
points they have a formula. And you earn points for a formula
based on hours played, multiplied by average bet, multiplied by
house advantage. As a player pays the personnel there at the
table that records those items; hours played, average bet, and
then fills out that paperwork to calculate the points.

There's a specific patron here who is a regular
at the casino who was complaining that he had not achieved a GM
status for the time period. The casino personnel looked at his
file and saw that had 350 points less than the 500,000 he
needed. They then went back and altered records for prior play
to increase the amount average bet about to put him over that
limit so that he would qualify for the tier status.

By doing this and by not filling out the proper
paperwork they made it impossible for the proper audit of these
point adjustments. There is a process that if you do want to
adjust points, certain paperwork has to be done so that
those can be tracked and audited.

Staff recommended a $2,500 fine. In the
casino's response they acknowledged that they failed to follow
the proper procedures. They indicated they'd taken remedial
measures by changing their procedures, they pointed out this is
a first offense as far as table games of personnel adjusting
points. They also indicated they had terminated the director of
casino operations, who was directly involved and directly
oversaw the personnel that made these adjustments.

In our reply, was just simply that yes they --
because they didn't follow the proper process in altering those
records and they made no video review that they've made these
changes to these average bet amounts without any evidence to
back that up, that they -- and the evidence -- a matter fact
from the original paperwork was from people that actually
observed the wagering taking place and that we would continue
our recommendation of a $2,500 fine.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: I've got some questions.

When I look at similar cases, all of the similar cases were against licensee individuals, not against the casino. Are we taking action against this licensee that did this? Because I get where they didn't follow the rules -- or where they didn't follow the rule, but your discipline is based on the fact that if they would have documented this they could have given the -- could have given the --

(WHEREIN; telephone interruption.)

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Is that your raccoon calling?

COMMISSIONER NEER: That is supposed to be off.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: The off button doesn't work.

MR. GREWACH: The chairman did fail to make that announcement. For that second part about whether they could do it I’d ask Cheryl Alonzo, our assistant deputy director --

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: It's their promotion system and they're given points away.

MR. GREWACH: Yes.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: And so a patron was not cheated points, he was given additional points. Correct?

MR. GREWACH: Correct.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: And so they have a benefit that they're giving to a customer that is no cost to the
state of Missouri; is that correct?

MR. GREWACH: That would be accurate. Yes. The
-- we did discipline the on director of casino operations with a
five-day suspension, then as I indicated, he was terminated by
the property. And the casino shift manager who had, at the
direction of the casino operations, had actually made -- or
directed the adjustments to be made also received a five-day
suspension.

When you look at the prior cases you'll see
especially two of them involve revocation of an employee because
they were stealing. They were stealing from the casino.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Right. Right. I got
that.

MR. GREWACH: In this case, due to the fact that
someone as high level as the director of casino operations was
involved in directing, basically saying to his staff consider
every time this patron plays, that his average bet is $500, so
-- irregardless of actual average bet. The problem then, of
course, is not following the proper procedures which I will have
Ms. Alonzo address, because that creates certain problems for
us.

You know, in this particular instance it's true
that he was given points and not awarded them, but if an
adjustment had been made the other way, the proper paperwork
hadn't been done so we're looking at this saying well, you know,
you really need to follow the proper process so we can go back
and investigate, audit point adjustments and make sure they're
correct.

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Before Cheryl addresses
this, is there some kind of back story here? I read the
previous disciplinary issues where you had one case I think
somebody was benefiting their family members. Another case they
were basically selling the points. Is there some back story to
this one that we need to know?

MR. GREWACH: My understanding was it was more
of a customer service issue. They had a patron who was a good
patron, who was complaining. They were worried potentially
about losing his business and it was done in response to this
patron's persistent complaints about not being at the GM level.

MS. ALONZO: Cheryl Alonzo, Missouri Gaming
Commission. At this particular property, according to the
promotional rules you can't adjust -- adjustments wouldn't go
towards changing a patron's base points. But by them going and
making adjustments, sometimes 146 days later to his average bet,
it caused, you know, him to get these additional points and
normally any point adjustments would have to be done MIS and
they would have to be submitted to accounting and accounting
would review those point adjustments. Accounting never knew
these adjustments occurred.

Basically the table games department made the
these adjustments. The marketing department was not aware of these adjustments. And so -- and they are the ones that actually administer that program. And so they were actually -- they were the ones that brought it to light that this had been changed.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: And I guess that is kind of my question. The casino's department brought it to light that there was a problem and then they terminated the person that created the problem for not following the rules. But we are punishing the casino for actions of employees.

MS. ALONZO: High level. I mean, this was -- MS. JAMISON: I get it, it was high level, but that --

MS. ALONZO: Because it's a management level.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Yeah. But I mean -- CHAIRMAN KOHN: Are we saying that they didn't supervise this high-level management person properly? That's why the casino is at fault?

MS. ALONZO: No. I think it's because the person that orchestrated this was a high-level manager of the -- at the property. And the casino fines often go when management fails to follow their promotional rules or any other MICS or CSR.

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: So because it was a high-level employee, we're imputing it to casino, whereas if it was a dealer -- I'm not sure --
MS. ALONZO: Right. If a dealer had done this and it was all -- and management had no awareness of it, then discipline would always go to that dealer. But if it's, you know, high-level people in the organization, that's when we tend to look at casino fines because we would expect those high-level people to follow the rules and know what they are and follow their own internal controls.

COMMISSIONER LOMBardo: See, I get where Brian is coming from on this. We look at the other disciplinary actions and they're individuals. This is the first one we've seen for the casino and we want to know kind of know why this one is different.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Help me, when you say high-level, is he over accounting and marketing and the other departments or is he just over the floor games?

MS. ALONZO: Yeah. It's a small casino, so the director of casino operations is over slots and table games, I believe. And he reports directly to the GM. And this was reported to the GM by the marketing manager, when she was first starting to investigate it and was trying to understand why this person hadn't hit any of her reports as being moved from one level to the next. And then she said -- and this was a corporate marketing program.

And so then she sent it on to corporate and then when they started looking they saw those recent adjustments.
COMMISSIONER JAMISON: So corporate came back down to the general manager of the casino with the issue?

MS. ALONZO: Right. And this is a, you know, corporate-wide policy. And they had revised their player reward program to make it more difficult to get GM status because they had, I think, a lot more people in the GM status and they wanted to make it more exclusive. So, you know -- anyway, it was the corporate program.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Thank you. Other questions?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: I have a quick one. First off, if this did not cause the state of Missouri any money -- I'm presuming that there was no disadvantage to any other customer because of this adjustment; is that accurate?

MR. GREWACH: I would say yes. That is accurate.

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Okay. So why are we concerned about it. I'm not trying to be flippant. I'm generally -- I mean, why is this an issue for us?

MR. GREWACH: It is an issue of process. As Ms. Alonzo pointed out, you know, there are certain structure, certain people, IT can make adjustments. There's paperwork, then accounting has to review it to make sure the adjustments are proper. If you bypass that process, you certainly run risks that there will be something that may harm a patron or harm the
state. And so it's more of an issue of not -- there was damage
to patrons or damage of the state in terms of AGR. It's just --
and that's why the fine is probably reflected and that would be
$2,500 in that you need to follow these processes. So we can go
back and investigate it and audit it and make sure all the
checks and balances in that system are in place.

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: In a sense for us, it is a
transparency issue. You need to know that the processes are
being followed so that it makes it easier when you need to audit
and hold them accountable for other things that may actually
disadvantage the state.

MR. GREWACH: Correct. If you mandate a process
and someone doesn't follow it, the question is do you discipline
them at that point for not.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: I have one other
question.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Was there a process for
them if they would have documented this correctly? Was there a
process for them to add points or is they were outside the
bounds of the process? Do you understand my question?

MS. ALONZO: Yes. I understand. It's my
understanding that you can -- the property allows point
adjustments to promotional points, which are the ones that you
can spend at the property, but not base points, which the base
points determine what tier you are. And in the rules it says
that adjustments -- point adjustments, do not affect base
points. So you're not really allowed to change the base points.
It should be based on your play and then if the property wants
to make adjustments for the promotional points, they could do
that.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: So it is not just a --

it's not just they didn't fill in the right blank and give an
explanation, it's outside that realm?

MS. ALONZO: Yeah. They wouldn't have been able
to make just a point adjustment to give him a 191,000 points.
That's not an option in that program.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: But it's their program, so they
could have changed the rules if they wanted to.

MS. ALONZO: Yeah, but those rules -- those
rules are submitted to a legal counsel and they are approved.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: To our legal counsel?

MS. ALONZO: No. Their legal counsel signs off
that it doesn't violate any rules. They review it and those are
on file for the Commission. And anybody who goes in and wants
to participate in that program, any patron should, you know, get
that same treatment if they go and they pay the same money as
him. They should get GM status too. I think there is also that
bigger picture is if people aren't following those promotional
rules then all patrons aren't being treated the same, then why
are you doing things? Could there be some -- you know, you
don't know why --

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Back to Rick's question, is there another back --

MS. ALONZO: When people circumvent those rules it could be because they're being given compensation for circumventing those rules. That's why we have those rules.

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Cheryl, these were the rules that were in effect at the time and yes the casino, could have changed them, but they hadn't changed them.

MS. ALONZO: Right. And any time they do change them, they would have to submit them to legal counsel again for approval, all changes.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Any other questions? By the way, I just noticed my mike has been off the whole day. Sorry about that. Any other questions for Ed? Okay.

Is there a motion with respect to 18-056? Ed, I have a question before they get to that. There's a gap between 18-043 and 056. Are there some other actions coming up?

MR. JAMISON: We took it out of May and put it into June.

MR. GREWACH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Okay. Is there a motion with respect to 18-056?
COMMISSIONER JAMISON: I move for approval.

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Second.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Before discussion on the motion? Angie?

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Finney?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Lombardo?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Neer?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Jamison?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Chairman Kohn?

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: By your vote you have adopted DC-18-056.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Ed, Boonville?

MR. GREWACH: Yes. Tab J, we have a preliminary order of discipline directed at Isle of Capri, Boonville, for a violation of the state statute 313.812.9 for failing to deposit checks within 24 hours of their cashing. That statute states that any check cashed must be deposited within 24 hours. It goes on to state that any licensee who violates the provisions of this subsection shall be subject to an administrative penalty of $5,000 for each violation. Then it goes on to say such
administrative penalties shall be assessed and collected by the commission.

This was a situation where the property, since 2014, was using electronic depositing process with their bank. And on the night shift of December 22nd, 2017, which was a Friday they attempted to make their edeposit, but because of a problem with the bank's website, they could not make the deposit. In questioning they indicated too that personnel had been doing a deposit for a long enough time period that they overlooked or forgot the option of actually physically putting the checks in the night dropbox of the bank.

So then this process went on. It would go from the night shift to the day shift. Since they could not get them deposited, they secured them in a locked cabinet. And again the next day they would attempt. They finally then on Wednesday, December 27th, 2017, physically deposited the checks at the bank.

The staff recommended a $5,000 fine. In the casino's response they indicated that the bank was closed on the 23rd, 24th, and 25th and had they deposited the checks on those days they would not have cleared, that the checks were secured, there was no risk of any of the checks not -- anything happening to them, that it was an isolated incident. It is the first time it had ever happened to them, that they took remedial actions in updating their supervisor manual to try to prevent this problem
from happening in the future.

Our reply was that the statute requires the deposits to be made within 24 hours. It doesn't require the that the checks be cleared by the bank or accepted by the bank. And in addition to that, that bank was in fact open on December 26th, but no deposits were made on that date and not until the next banking day of December 27th. So it's the staff's position to continue with the recommendation of the $5,000 fine.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Questions?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: It seems the statute is pretty clear. Do you see any way around -- any way that we could do anything about the $5,000 based on what you see in the statute?

MR. GREWACH: I do not. I mean, it has two "shall"s in it. You know, shall pay the $5,000 for each violation and the Commission shall assess and collect that. So as you know, we can waive our own rules, but we don't really have authority to waive the statutory.

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: And when was this statute passed, do you know? I was just wondering as far as the deposit goes, what that means.

MR. GREWACH: I don't have that information.

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: So they are assuming, I'm guessing, that when they write this a deposit means electronically deposit. Obviously, it would be after the
MR. GREWACH: As I read the statute, it just says, "must be deposited within 24 hours". I could look up the date it was passed. I am assuming that it probably was at the same time in 1994 when, you know, the statutes were first established, probably prior to the ability to make an e-deposit. Take for example, the fact they didn't start making e-deposits until 2014 for this particular property. It seems to me when I read the statute it envisions depositing those checks much like any business would, you know, at the close of business take their checks to the night drop and deposit them.

COMMISSIONER NEER: So there's no provision if electronic deposit isn't working? You're required to manually take it to the bank versus the dropbox?

MR. GREWACH: Well, I would say the opposite. The statute says it is must be deposited within 24 hours. So there's really no provision saying you're expected -- you're relieved of that duty if you have a problem with electronic deposit. We looked at it and certainly you can say, well, what is each violation? Is that every day. We looked at this two ways; this just being one transaction, one violation in the entire incident; the second is the fact that that Tuesday, you know -- Christmas was a Monday and the bank
was closed. Tuesday was a business day and the bank was open
and no deposits were made. It seemed that day in and of itself
mandated us to assess the $5,000.

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Did they give you an
explanation on why no deposits were made on the 26th?

MR. GREWACH: They just kind of had a perfect
storm here of people being on vacation and the person, you know,
that was really in charge of this didn't come back until the
27th and made the deposit on that particular day.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Any other questions? Is there a
motion with respect to DC-18-057.

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Motion to approve.

COMMISSIONER NEER: Second.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Discussion on the motion?

Angie?

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Finney?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Lombardo?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commission Neer?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Jamison?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Chairman Kohn?

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Approved.
MS. FRANKS: By your vote you've adopted DC-18-057.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Okay. IGT?

MR. GREWACH: Under Tab K we have a preliminary order of discipline directed to International Game Technology, who is a licensed supplier in the state for a violation of Section 5.237 of our rules, which requires that electronic gaming device software be approved for use in Missouri prior to shipment and that the critical program storage media, the software that makes the machine operate, be shipped separately from the electronic gaming device.

On September the 18th, 2017, 20 EGDs were shipped to the Argosy Casino and they all contained the software, the CPSM and two in addition contained software that had not been approved for use in Missouri. The company also had a prior similar violation in September 9th, 2016. The prior 2016 violation they received a non-punitive letter, a warning letter. And so in this event, for this case the staff recommended a fine of $2,500.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Didn't they also have an incident back in 2014?

MR. GREWACH: Yes.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: This would be their third incident in four years?

MR. GREWACH: Yes, that is correct.
COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Or less than four years.

Okay. 08/27 OF '14, so this will be their third incident in
less than four years.

MR. GREWACH: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Any questions? Any other
questions or comments. Okay. Is there a motion with respect to
DC-18-058?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Motion to approve.

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Second.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Discussion on the motion?

Angie?

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Finney?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Lombardo?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Neer?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Jamison?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Chairman Kohn?

CHAIRMAN KOHN: I am not recusing myself, but for
the record about 15 or more years ago my law firm represented
IGT. But we haven't in at least that long, so I will vote
approved.

MS. FRANKS: By your vote you've adopted
CHAIRMAN KOHN: Okay. Mr. Seibert?

VII. Consideration of Placement on List of Excluded Persons

MR. SEIBERT: Next order of business is consideration of placement on list of excluded persons. Mr. Ed Grewach will present.

MR. GREWACH: Thank you. Under Tab L we have a resolution to place Janet Frigerio on the involuntary exclusion list. Ms. Frigerio was employed at the St. Louis Activity Center, which is an organization that provides services to seniors. Between January of 2014 through September of 2014 she stole an amount of money in excess of $10,000 from her employer. She pled guilty in August 27, 2015, to a felony of a federal programs theft. She was ordered to make restitution, given five years supervised probation, conditioned on her not participating any gambling activity and for her to enroll in a gambling addiction program. A review of her play history indicated she had an extensive gambling history.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Is there a motion with respect to 18-038?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Motion to approve.

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: I'm guessing she's not here.

MR. GREWACH: No. The way these particular cases go, first you initiate it with the resolution. She then
gets notice and she has 30 days after she receives a notice to request a hearing. Then, if she requests a hearing, we have the hearing officer here it, and then it comes back to you.

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Sorry. Go ahead.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Motion with respect 18-038?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Motion to approve.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Second.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Discussion on the motion?

Angie?

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Finney?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Lombardo?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Neer?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Jamison?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Chairman Kohn?

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: By your vote you have adopted Resolution No. 18-038.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Okay. I'm just going to call this one 18-039.

MR. GREWACH: Under Tab M, we have a resolution to place Khuyen Nguyen on the involuntary exclusion list. In
2016, Nguyen was a graduate student and also employed at Washington University. The Psychology Department where he was working was conducting a research project where volunteers would fill out a voucher, were paid in cash for their participation. Nguyen had access to that cash and he falsified 755 vouchers and took an amount of $23,700 from the University using that mechanism. He pled guilty on June 22nd, 2017, to five counts of forgery. He received a suspended imposition of sentence, five years probation, and was ordered to pay restitution in the sum of $23,710. And again, reviewing his play record it indicated that during the applicable time periods Mr. Nguyen had an extensive gambling history.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Any questions on that? Is there motion on resolution 18-039.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Move for approval.

COMMISSIONER NEER: Second.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Discussion of the motion?

Angie?

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Finney?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Lombardo?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Neer?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Jamison?
COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Chairman Kohn?

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: By your vote you have adopted Resolution No. 18-039.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Mr. Seibert?

VIII. Consideration of Final Orders of Rulemaking

MR. SEIBERT: The next order of business is consideration of final orders of rulemaking. Mr. Ed Grewach will present.

MR. GREWACH: Under Tabs N, O and P, we have for your consideration final orders of rulemaking. These involve amendments and rescission to rules. They arise from our continuing process of review in compliance with the governor's executive order and statutory mandated review. The Commission had approved these proposed orders of rulemaking on February the 28th, 2018. That was followed by a public comment period.

There was a public hearing held on May the 1st, 2018. No comments were received on any of these rules throughout those two processes. If the Commission approves these, they would have an effective date of October 30th, 2018. I have for the Commission's convenience broken them down into the same categories as they were when the proposed order of rulemaking were first presented back in February. Under Tab N we have rescission of rules and
regulation that overlap, duplicate, or conflict with the statute or another rule. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: We're going to do these one by one. Is there a motion with regard to those rules and regulations listed Under Tab N?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: I move for final approval of the rules under Tab N.

COMMISSIONER NEER: Second.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Discussion on the motion?

Angie?

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Finney?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Lombardo?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Neer?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Jamison?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Chairman Kohn?

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: By your vote you have adopted the final orders of rulemaking 11 CSR 45-3.010, 5.053, 7.070, 8.100, 10.055, 11.020, 11.080, 12.020, 17.010, 30.520, 30.535, 40.070, and 40.100.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Okay. And we're now going to
Tab O, rescission of rules and regulations that are unnecessary, et cetera.

MR. GREWACH: That's correct. And are not essential to the health safety or welfare of Missouri residents. I might mention too, again, that these categories correspond to the categories for requirements in the Governor's executive order or the statutory review. So that's where the wording of these categories came. I'd be happy to answer any questions under Tab O.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Any questions on any of the ones under Tab O? If not, is there a motion to approve the rescission of rules and regulations listed under Tab O?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: So moved.

COMMISSIONER NEER: Second.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Discussion on the motion?

Angie?

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Finney?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Lombardo?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Neer?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Jamison?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Chairman Kohn?
CHAIRMAN KOHN: Approved.


CHAIRMAN KOHN: Under P.

MR. GREWACH: Under P we have an amended of rescission of rules and regulations that are obsolete.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Any questions of Ed? Is there a motion with respect to the rescission of rules and regulations that are obsolete and listed under Tab P?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Motion to approve.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Second.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Discussion on the motion?

Angie?

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Finney?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Lombardo?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Neer?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Jamison?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Chairman Kohn?

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: By your vote you've adopted the
final orders of rulemaking 11 CSR 45-4.085, 5.170, and 7.100.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Mr. Seibert?

IX. Consideration of Waiver of Institutional Investor

MR. SEIBERT: The next order of business is consideration of waiver of institutional investor. Ms. Maggie White will present.

MS. WHITE: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners. Behind Tabs Q and R are resolutions regarding waiver of licensure for institutional investors holding and are requesting to hold publicly-traded interest of up to 20 percent in multiple gaming licensees. These investors have submitted requests for a waiver to hold interest in multiple Missouri licensees, in compliance with 11 CSR 45-4. The submitted waiver requests certify the holdings are for institutional investment purposes only, with no intent to be involved in the management or operation of the licensees.

Because the holdings may exceed the 10 percent threshold for which the executive director may grant a waiver, these resolutions are before the Commission today. Resolution No. 18-040 is for Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. Resolution No. 18-041 is for Black Rock Inc.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Let's take them one at a time. Do you have any other comments about Mitsubishi?

MS. WHITE: No, I do not.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Any questions on that one? I
have a question. Do they do any other business in the gaming industry in the city other than their mothership casino?

MS. WHITE: Not to my knowledge.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: There's no real estate holdings in the casinos?

MS. WHITE: No, they are investing Konami Gaming.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Any other questions? Is there a motion with respect 18-040?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Move to approve.

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Second.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Discussion on the motion?

Angie?

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Finney?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Lombardo?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Neer?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Jamison?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Chairman Kohn?

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: By your vote you've adopted Resolution Number 18-040.
CHAIRMAN KOHN: Okay. Now, Black Rock.

MS. WHITE: Okay. This is Resolution 18-041.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: So you have the same comments that you have about --

MS. WHITE: Yes. They're invested in multiple Missouri gaming licensees.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: And because they may go over 10 percent --

MS. WHITE: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: You don't know at this point whether or not they are?

MS. WHITE: They are ranging in the various one from like 5 percent to 10 percent. With your approval, that allows them to go over 10 percent.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Okay. Is there a motion with respect to Resolution 18-041?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: I move for approval.

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Second.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Discussion? Angie?

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Finney?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Lombardo?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Neer?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Approved.
MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Jamison?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Chairman Kohn?

CHAIRMAN K OHN: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: By your vote you've adopted Resolution No. 18-041.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: I believe that -- unless I'm missing, Mr. Seibert, that concludes our open meeting? That concludes our business in the open session. We will go into closed session and come back and reopen the open session after the closed session. You're welcome to stay for that, but chances are we will not be conducting any business after we adjourn the closed session. So does anybody here want to make a motion to go into close session?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: I move for a closed meeting under Section 313.847 and 313.945, RSMo., Investigatory, Proprietary, and Application Records and 610.021(1), RSMo., Legal Actions (3) and (13), Personnel and (14), Record Protected from Disclosure by Law.

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Angie?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: I second.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Finney?

COMMISSIONER FINNEY: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Lombardo?

COMMISSIONER LOMBARDO: Approved.
MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Neer?

COMMISSIONER NEER: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Commissioner Jamison?

COMMISSIONER JAMISON: Approved.

MS. FRANKS: Chairman Kohn?

CHAIRMAN KOHN: Approved.

So we will go into closed session. And I've been waiting three years to do this, we are adjourned.

(Meeting concluded.)
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The Missouri Gaming Commission (the “Commission”) went into open session at approximately 1:10 p.m. on June 27, 2018, at the Jefferson City office of the Missouri Gaming Commission.

Commissioner Jamison moved to adjourn the open session meeting. Commissioner Lombardo seconded the motion. After a roll call vote was taken, Lombardo – yes, Neer – yes, Jamison – yes, and Kohn – yes, the motion was unanimously approved.

The meeting ended at 1:11 p.m.